

Appn. No. 10/637,211


Attorney Docket No. 10541-1810

Claim 21 depends from claim 18. Huemann does not teach the elements of claim 18 noted above as missing from Yasuhara and Langer. Therefore, claim 21 is patentable for at least the same reasons as given above in support of claim 18.

*Conclusion*

In view of the above amendments and remarks, it is respectfully submitted that the present form of the claims are patentably distinguishable over the art of record and that this application is now in condition for allowance. Such action is requested.

Respectfully submitted by,

Dated: 11/19/07  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Robert K. Fergan  
Reg. No.: 51,674  
Attorney for Applicants

BRINKS HOFER GILSON & LIONE  
P.O. Box 10395  
Chicago, IL 60610  
(734) 302-6000

-10-

